(f) Vide answer to part (6) of question No. 371.

(g) Government do not consider that any such enquiry is necessary.

(h) Yes.

(i) Yes

(j) No action can be taken on vague allegations.

(k) Vide answer to (j).

377. Mr. K. T. Mathew.—Will the Government be pleased to mention:—

(a) whether any petition or complaint was received by the Mukundapuram 2nd class Magistrate on 24th Karkadagam 1101 against the Sub-Inspector of Pallivirutti Police Station of that time; and if so,

(b) what are the complaints contained therein ;

(c) whether the same Sub-Inspector, who had been posted at Pallivirutti then, was at Kunnamkulam in the beginning of 1104 and was it against him that the people of Kunnamkulam petitioned on 12th Kanni 1104 ?

Mr. P. Nilakanta Menon.—(a) Yes.

(b) The complaint in the petition is as follows:—

പള്ളിരുത്തി കസ്ംബാ പൊല്ലിസ്സ സ്റ്റേഷനിൽ സബ്ബിൻസ്പെക്ടർ അമീദഖാന്റെ ഉപദേശപ്രകാരം ടിയാൻ പണിഎടുക്കുന്ന രാജ്യത്തനിന്നു ഉദ്ദേശം ഫ വയസ്സു പ്രായമുള്ളതും വെളുത്തതും സൌന്ദര്യമുള്ളതും മെയ്യാഭരണങ്ങളോടുകൂടിയതുമായ ഒരു കുസ്തീയ സ്ത്രീയെ എതുകക്ഷികളുടെ വീട്ടിലേക്ക (the Sub-Inspector's father is the 2nd counter-petitioner and their house is at Irinjalakkuda) അമീദഖാൻ സബ്ബിൻസ്പെക്ടർ ഉരുപദേശം ചെയ്തയക്കുകയും ടി സ്ത്രീയെ ഇന്നലെ വൈകുന്നേരം അവളുടെ സമ്മതം കൂടാതെ വ-ാംഎതുകക്ഷിയും മറ്റംകൂടി സാക്ഷാലുള്ള ഉടുപ്പുകളെ മാറി ബലമായി മുഹമ്മദീയ ഉടുപ്പുകളെ ധരിപ്പിക്കുകയും ഇന്നൊ നാളെയൊ മനഃസമ്മതം കൂടാതെമുഹമ്മദീയ മാർഗ്ഗത്തിൽ ചേക്കുവാൻ. ബലമായ ഒരുക്കങ്ങൾ ചെയ്തുവരുന്നതായി അറിയുന്നു.

(c) Yes.

378. Mr. K. T. Mathew.—Will the Government be pleased to mention: —

(a) whether Mr. Arakkal Thomman Rokkey, a resident of Alwaye in Travancore, has sent a petition dated 28th Kanni 1104 to the District Magistrate about his sister's missing daughter ; and if so,

(b) what is the complaint contained in that petition and against whom has he lodged it ;

(c) whether any enquiry has been conducted with reference to this petition ; and if so, who conducted the enquiry and what was the nature and result of it ;

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

(d) whether a copy of the enquiry report will be placed on the Table;

(e) whether all the papers connected with this will be placed on the Table?

Mr. P. Nilakanta Menon.—(a) Yes.

(b) The complaint is that the petitioner's sister's daughter was missing about three years back, that a Muhammadan Police officer then employed at Pallivirutti was forcibly keeping her, that she has been converted to Muhammadanism and that she is now kept at Kunnamkulam in the house of one Makkar, a subordinate of the said Police officer.

(c) The B Division Police Inspector conducted the enquiry into this petition. The Division Inspector's report shows that the woman said to have been kept in Makkar's house became a convert in her 19th year in Kanjiramittam Mosque after petitioning the then District Magistrate about it. She is now aged 22. The petitioner Rokkey is not related to her in any way. She is married to one Ebrayim who sent her for delivery to the house of the said Makkar who is her husband's cousin. She is not willing to go with anybody except her husband. The Division Inspector states that whatever may be the nature of her allegations she is according to the petitioner himself not a minor and as such nobody will be justified in forcing her to leave the place against her will.

(d) and (e). Vide answer to part (2) to question No. 372.

379. Mr. K. T. Mathew.—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(1) whether the Commissioner of Police has received any petition or complaint from Mr. Ayikkaramadhathil Subrahmanya Vadhyar Venkiteswara Sastrigal, Porkkulam Village, Talappalli Taluk, dated 8th November 1928, against some Police officer at Kunnamkulam; and if so, who is the officer against whom it is submitted;

(2) what are the allegations contained in it;

(3) whether any enquiry has been conducted in the matter; and if so,

(4) whether a copy of the enquiry report will be placed on the Table;

(5) what action has been taken by the Government against the officer in question;

(6) what are the other complaints received against this officer in the last nine months?

Mr. P. Nilakanta Menon.—(1) Yes. Against the then Sub-Inspector Mr. Abdul Hamid Khan.

(2) That the Sub-Inspector threatened the petitioner that he would be taken to the Police station and ill-treated.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly